

lead to a 16-electron configuration, it would seem likely that other interactions could be present. In this regard, one can note that the separation between molybdenum atoms is 3.215 (1) Å, comparable to the value of 3.235 (1) Å observed in $[(C_5H_5)Mo(C-O)_3]_2^7$ but much longer than the value of 2.448 (1) Å in $[(C_5-H_5)Mo(CO)_2]_2$.⁸ The Mo–O–Mo angles are rather large compared to the O–Mo–O angles (102.0 (2)° vs. 71.6 (1)°), leading to an extremely short O- O separation of 2.421 Å, which suggests little metal-metal bonding (cf., Ib). However, the sums of the three bond angles about the oxygen atoms average 116.3°, close to that expected for sp² hybridization. It would therefore seem likely that 18-electron configurations are reached through π interactions with the oxygen atoms (Ic).

Particularly remarkable about this complex, however, is the multistep coupling process that has taken place, during which one carbonyl ligand is converted to an alkoxide.⁹ To our knowledge this represents a very new mode of reactivity for a transition-metal carbonyl complex. While it was recognized that subsequent coordination of additional ligands to an actual $Mo(2,4-C_7H_{11})(C-C_7H_{11})$ $O_{3}(CH_{3})$ complex might bring about a coupling reaction, it was a surprise to find that such events would take place spontaneously and particularly that the acyl group would couple to both ends of the pentadienyl group. A possible mechanism for this process is set out in Scheme I. While most steps have precedent,^{6,10} the final coupling process $(3 \rightarrow 4a)$ is unusual. By utilizing an alternative representation for metal-butadiene bonding (3b), however, one can regard this as an intramolecular alkylation of a coordinated ketone.¹¹ That a similar cyclization process is not commonly (if ever) observed for related allyl and butadiene compounds might reflect the greater strain in the expected smaller ring products. It may also be noted that the overall reaction bears some similarity to the hydrogenation of CO in the methanol synthesis except that the former reaction is stoichiometric and involves the transfer of alkyl groups, rather than hydrogen atoms, to the carbonyl carbon atoms. In addition, there is some resemblance to the formation of metal-enediolates in various metal carbonyl reactions.12

It appears that the coupling chemistry of transition-metalpentadienyl compounds will prove to be very versatile. Almost certainly the rather unique aspects will lead to useful applications,¹³ and one can note in particular that the combination of fivemembered and single-membered fragments into six-membered rings is an uncommon synthetic strategy. We have, in addition, observed a number of coupling reactions involving other unsaturated organic molecules with metal-pentadienyl compounds,¹⁴ and we are pursuing in greater detail this chemistry and its potential applications.

Acknowledgment. R.D.E. expresses his appreciation for support of this research through grants from the National Science Foundation (CHE-8120683 and CHE-8419271) and from the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society. We would also like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-8100424) and the University of Utah Institutional Funds Committee for providing funds for the purchase of the mass spectrometer system.

Supplementary Material Available: Listing of the positional parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms of the molybdenum compound, a table of bond distances and angles, and a structure factor table (14 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(14) Ernst, R. D.; Kralik, M. S.; Melendez, E., unpublished results.

Silapyran and Silacyclobutene Formation in the Gas-Phase Pyrolysis of 2-(Dimethylsilyl)furan

Thomas J. Barton* and Brian L. Groh

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011 Received August 5, 1985

Recently we have reported¹ that flash vacuum pyrolysis of α -silyl enol ethers provides a route to vinylidenes via reductive elimination of an alkoxysilane. We have also reported² a single attempt to utilize this reaction with a cyclic enol ether 1 as a method of ring expansion, but the resulting vinylidene 2 exclusively preferred to collapse to acetylene 3 rather than undergo Si-H insertion to yield 4. However, it was hoped that greater rigidity



Barton, T. J.; Groh, B. L. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 158.
 Barton, T. J.; Groh, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7221.

^{(7) (}a) Wilson, F. C.; Shoemaker, D. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1957, 27, 809. (b)
Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. M.; Cotton, F. A. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1086.
(8) Klingler, R. J.; Butler, W.; Curtis, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3535.

^{(9) (}a) The conversion of an alkoxide to a carbonyl ligand has been observed.⁹⁶ (b) Chaudret, B. N.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Nohr, R. S.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1977**, 1546.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Williams, G. M.; Rudisill, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3357. (11) Lindsell, W. E. In "Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry"; Wilkinson, G., Stone, F. G. A., Abel, E. W., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 1, p 192.

^{(12) (}a) Wolczanski, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 121.
(b) Fagan, P. J.; Manriquez, J. M.; Marks, T. J.; Day, V. W.; Vollmer, S. H.; Day, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5393. (c) Evans, W. J.; Wayda, A. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 706. (d) Planalp, R. P.; Anderson, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7774.

^{(13) (}a) In other applications, pentadienylsilanes^{13b} and anions^{13c} have been employed for pentadienylations, while pentadienylnickel compounds undergo limited coupling reactions.^{13d} Cyclohexadienylmetal compounds have a number of useful applications.^{13e,f} (b) Seyferth, D.; Pornet, J.; Weinstein, R. M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1651. (c) Gerard, F.; Miginiac, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 1924, 2527. (d) Hegedus, L. S.; Varaprath, S. Organometallics 1982, 1, 259. (e) Pearson, A. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 463 and references therein. (f) Kane-Maguire, L. A. P.; Honig, E. D.; Sweigart, D. A. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 525.

Scheme I



of the vinylidene 6 produced from rearrangement of 2-(dimethylsilyl)furan $(5)^3$ would reduce the entropic requirements (relative to 2) for cyclization via Si-H insertion.

At 800 °C, the FVP of furan 5 afforded in 15% yield silapyran 7 which was identified by comparison of its spectral features with those of an authentic sample.^{4,5} Separation of 7 from the product mixture is greatly complicated by the presence of 5 (15%), isomer **8** (8%),⁶ and an unidentified isomer (7%). Also formed in the FVP of 5 were four isomers of $Me_2SiC_3H_4$, 9–12,⁷ corresponding to decarbonylation of 5. Loss of CO from 5 was not unanticipated as furan is known⁸ to decompose to propyne and allene, but the formation of 3,3-dimethyl-3-silacyclobut-1-ene (12)7 was a complete surprise.



Mechanistic aspects of furan decarbonylation have not been studied, but presumably the process is initiated by C-O homolysis (Scheme I). Closure⁹ of the resulting diradical to acylcyclopropene 13 would provide a system capable of decarbonylation. However, as the thermal decarbonylation of aldehydes is generally more complex¹¹ than that of ketenes,¹² we favor isomerization to



ketene 15 prior to loss of CO. It is of interest to note that the intermediate vinylcarbene 14 could also serve as a source of silapyran 7 by way of a well-established¹³ 1,2-migration of hydrogen to produce silene 16 which should cyclize to 7. Thus, the formation of $\overline{7}$ in the pyrolysis of furan 5 does not demand the intermediacy of vinylidene 6.

Probably the most interesting question is that of the origin of 3,3-dimethyl-3-silacyclobut-1-ene (12). Silanes 9 and 10 are expected to equilibrate,¹⁴ and we have found that in the case of the trimethylsilyl analogues at higher temperatures this equilibrium is bled to the 1-propynyl isomer-in this case 11. However, if a silacyclobutene is to originate from this energy surface it must be dependent upon the system possessing an Si-H unit. In order to demonstrate that the origin of **12** is indeed the acetylenic/allenic mixture 9-11, 3-(dimethylsilyl)propyne (10) was independently

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me}_{2}\text{SiH} \\ \text{CH}_{2}-\text{C} \equiv \text{CH} \\ 10 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{FVP}} \left[\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si} \\ 10 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Me}_{2}\text{Si} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\ 10 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\ 10 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \\ 11 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \end{array}{\xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}\text{Si}} \end{array}$$

pyrolyzed. At 700 °C the major constituents of the FVP of 10 were 9 (5%), 10 (3%), 11 (10%), and 12 (20%). Because of the large number of intermediates one can write for a connecting energy surface between isomers 9-12 there exist a considerable number of mechanistic possibilities for the formation of a silacyclobutene. The simplest of these is isomerization¹² of 10 to vinylidene 17 followed by intramolecular Si-H insertion. Ultimately, the only hope of significantly narrowing down the mechanistic possibilities will be nontrivial double-labeling experiments.

Lastly, we would comment on the implications of another mechanistic possibility for the formation of 12 (Scheme II). As 10 is in equilibrium with allene 9, one must consider a route involving 1,2-silyl migration to sequentially produce vinylcarbene 18, cyclopropene 19, and vinylcarbene 20. This latter intermediate would be expected¹³ to isomerize to vinylsilene 21, a system that is known¹⁵ to close to **12**. Such a pathway is supported by recent ab initio calculations on allene-propyne isomerization.¹⁶ The (trimethylsilyl)allene-propargylsilane isomerization has been the subject of extensive kinetic and stereochemical investigation14 and

⁽³⁾ Prepared from α -lithiofuran and Me₂HSiCl in 47% yield: NMR (CCl₁) 8 0.35 (d, 6 H, J = 6 Hz), 4.45 (SiH, hep, J = 6 Hz), 6.30 (d, of d, 1 H, J = 2, 3 Hz), 6.59 (d, 1 H, J = 3 Hz), 7.55 (d, 1 H, J = 2 Hz); mass spectrum, m/e (% relative intensity) 126 (18), 125 (6), 111 (100), 85 (33); $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeCN}}$ 244 (10022). Hussmann, G. P. Ph.D. Dissertation, Iowa State (4) Barton, T. J.; Wulff, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2735.

⁽⁵⁾ Hussmann, G. P.; Wulff, W. D.; Barton, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1263.

^{(6) 8: &}lt;sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 0.36 (s, 6 H), 5.13 (m, 1 H), 5.58 (apparent d, 1 H, J = 2.4 Hz), 5.84 (apparent d, 1 H, J = 3.4 Hz), 6.66 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 151.22, 145.91, 116.32, 114.85, -0.80; mass spectrum, m/e (% relative intensity) 126 (54), 112 (10), 111 (100), 85 (28); this product was quite

<sup>unstable on standing in solution or neat.
(7) Baikov, V. E.; Danilkina, L. P.; Oglobin, K. A. Zh. Obshch. Khim.
1983, 53, 1330. Curry, J. W.; Larson, V. R.; Li, T. C.; Johnson, L. F. Polym.</sup> Prepr. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 1962, 3 (2), 428. For a synthesis of 12 see ref 17.

⁽⁸⁾ Brent, A. D.; Hribar, J. D.; DeJongh, D. C. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 135

⁽⁹⁾ This process is not observed in the analogous photochemical isomerization of 2-silylfurans.10

⁽¹⁰⁾ Barton, T. J.; Hussmann, G. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6313. (11) Schubert, W. M.; Kintner, R. R. In "The Chemistry of the Carbonyl Group"; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1966; Chapter 14.
(12) Brown, R. F. C.; Eastwood, F. W.; Harrington, K. J. Aust. J. Chem.

^{1974, 27, 2373.}

⁽¹³⁾ Barton, T. J.; Hoekman, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1584 and references therein.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Slutsky, J.; Kwart, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8678.
 (15) Block, E.; Revelle, L. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1630.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Honjou, N.; Pecansky, J.; Yoshimine, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5361.

has always been presented^{14,17} as a concerted 1,3-migration of silicon. We are not of that view and believe that the path is similar to that found for the C_3H_4 surface¹⁶ involving vinylcarbene, cyclopropene, and vinylidene intermediates as shown in Scheme II. Thus, the observed stereochemical outcome of inversion on silicon¹⁴ is accommodated by a 1,2-Si migration with retention followed by a 1,3-Si migration with inversion. Further studies are currently in progress on the mechanistic details of silylallene isomerizations.

Acknowledgment. The support of this work by the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

(17) Brook, A. G.; Bassindale, A. R. In "Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States"; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1980; Vol. 2, Essay 9.

Gas-Phase Generation of Singlet Oxygen by Reaction of Ozone with Organic Substances

W. C. Eisenberg* and K. Taylor

Chemistry Department, IIT Research Institute Chicago, Illinois 60616

R. W. Murray

Department of Chemistry University of Missouri—St. Louis St. Louis, Missouri 63121 Received May 17, 1985

The reaction of ozone (O_3) with organic substances in the gas phase has been studied extensively because of the presence of ozone in the atmosphere.¹ One of us² has suggested that some ozone reactions occurring in polluted atmospheres may be sources of singlet oxygen. A number of liquid-phase reactions of ozone have been shown to give singlet oxygen.³ Many of the methods used to gain evidence for singlet oxygen in the solution reactions of ozone are not readily adapted to the gas phase. We now report that we have used the 1.27- μ m emission of $O_2({}^{1}\Delta g)$ to gain evidence for the formation of singlet oxygen in the gas-phase reactions of ozone with a variety of organic substrates. These reactions are characterized by the transfer of one oxygen atom from ozone to the oxidized substrate concurrent with singlet oxygen production.

When oxygen gas containing triethylamine was mixed with oxygen gas containing ozone at atmospheric pressure,^{4,5} singlet Δ oxygen was formed as evidenced by observing the 1.27- μ m emission.^{6,7} A record of the 1.27- μ m emission and the quantity

Table I. Observed 1.27- μ m Emission in the Reaction of Ozone with Organic Substances

reactant	reactant concn, ppm	O3 concn, ppm	1.27-μm emission, mV	¹ O ₂ concn, ^a ppm
bkgd	0	0	0.3	0.000
control 1	297	0	0.3	0.000
control 2	0	2340	0.6	0.003
triethylamine	297	2340	15.0	0.109
diethyl sulfide	366	2290	7.0	0.050
methyl disulfide	337	2370	1.4	0.009
trimethyl phosphite	380	2330	1.6	0.011
triethyl phosphine	381	2330	0.8	0.005
N-methylpiperidine	351	2290	25.4	0.186

 $[^]a$ The $O_2(^1\Delta g)$ concentration was established in chemical trapping experiments with 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene and therefore is a minimum concentration.



Figure 1. Plot of $O_2(^1\Delta g)$ emission vs. triethylamine concentration at an ozone concentration of ~2350 ppm.

of $O_2({}^{1}\Delta g)$ produced with several substrates is given in Table I. Control experiments in which oxygen containing ozone was passed through the optical detector gave signals near background level. Other control experiments with oxygen containing triethylamine also gave the same result.

Figure 1 is a plot of the 1.27- μ m emission vs. triethylamine concentration at an ozone concentration of ~ 2350 ppm. The observed dependence on N(C₂H₅)₃ can be explained in terms of eq 1-3. Singlet oxygen was generated in the reaction of tri-

$$N(C_2H_5)_3 + O_3 \rightarrow (C_2H_5)_3N^+O^- + {}^1O_2$$
 (1)

$$O_2(^1\Delta g) + O_3 \rightarrow {}^3O_2 + O_3$$
 (2)

$$O_2(^1\Delta g) + N(C_2H_5)_3 \rightarrow {}^3O_2 + N(C_2H_5)_3$$
 (3)

ethylamine with ozone (eq 1). Ozone (eq 2)⁸ and triethylamine (eq 3)⁹ are potent singlet oxygen quenchers and would be expected to remove $O_2(^1\Delta g)$ from the gas phase as seen in Figure 1. The singlet oxygen concentration passes through a maximum at a $N(C_2H_5)_3$ concentration that is ~35% of the required stoichiometric amount. This concentration is presumably dictated by the combined influence of eq 1-3. At higher triethylamine concen-

⁽¹⁾ Atkinson, R.; Carter, W. P. L. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 437.

⁽²⁾ Murry, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4161.
(3) (a) Murray, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5358.
(b) Murray, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5358.
(c) Wasserman, E.; Murry, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L.; Yager, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4160.
(d) Bartlett, P. D.; Mendenhall, G. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 210.
(e) Schaap, A. P.; Bartlett, P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6055.
(f) Murry, r. W.; Lin, J. W.-P; Kaplan, M. L. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1970, 171, 121.
(g) Bartlett, P. D.; Mendenhall, G. D.; Schaap, A. P. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1970, 171, 79.
(h) Stephenson, L. M. and McClure, D. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3074.
(i) Brennan, M. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 956.
(j) Schaap, A. P.; Kaes, K.; Thayer, A. L. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1185.
(k) Murray, R. W., Lumman, W. C., Jr.; Lin, J. W.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5671.
(m) Stary, F. W.; Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5671.
(m) Stary, F. E.; Emge, D. E.; Murray, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5671.
(m) Stary, F. E.; Plesnicar, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5671.

⁽⁴⁾ The experimental apparatus was composed of 1/4-in. stainless steel tubing with valves for flow control and dilution. Ozone was generated by passing oxygen (99.995%) through a Welsbach generator. Oxygen gas streams containing the organic substrates were generated by passing oxygen (99.995%) through a bubbler containing the liquid organic substrate.

⁽⁵⁾ The concentration of the organic substrate in the gas phase was monitored by using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. A Dasibi monitor was used to measure the ozone concentration.

^{(6) (}a) Wayne, R. P.; Pitts, J. N., Jr. J. Chem. Phys. **1969**, 50, 3644. (b) Eisenberg, W. C., Snelson, A.; Veltman, J. Tetrahedron Lett. **1981**, 22, 1949. (7) The 1.27- μ m emission of singlet Δ oxygen was monitored by using a

⁽⁷⁾ The 1.27- μ m emission of singlet Δ oxygen was monitored by using a liquid nitrogen cooled germanium photodiode equipped with a 1.27 \pm 0.02 μ m band-pass filter. The IR region from 0.70 to 1.60 μ m was scanned by replacing the band-pass filter with a Baush and Lomb Model 3 IR monochrometer. A narrow band was observed at 1.27 μ m corresponding to the ${}^{1}\Delta g(\nu = 0) \rightarrow {}^{3}\Sigma(\nu = 0)$) transition of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and a less intense band was also observed at 1.58 μ m to the ${}^{1}\Delta g(\nu = 1) \rightarrow {}^{3}\Sigma_{g}$ ($\nu = 0$) transition of ${}^{1}O_{2}$. (8) Ogryzlo, E. A. In "Singlet Oxygen", Murray, R. W., Wasserman, H.

<sup>H., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1979.
(9) Furukawa, K.; Gray, E. W.; Ogryzlo, E. A. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1970, 171, 175.</sup>